# On Approximation in the $L^{\rho}$ -Norm by Reciprocals of Polynomials

### D. LEVIATAN

Department of Mathematics, Sackler Faculty of Science, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel

## A. L. LEVIN

Department of Mathematics, Everyman's University, P. O. Box 39328, Tel-Aviv, Israel

#### AND

# E. B. SAFF\*

Institute for Constructive Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida 33620, U.S.A.

Communicated by V. Totik

Received January 5, 1988

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently the second and third authors have considered the question of approximating a real-valued continuous function f on [-1, 1] by reciprocals of polynomials having real or complex coefficients. While no restrictions on f are necessary for the approximation by reciprocals of complex polynomials, it is obvious that if we limit ourselves to reciprocals of real polynomials we must assume that f does not change sign in the interval. Under this assumption it was shown in [3] that one can approximate  $f(\neq 0)$  by reciprocals of real polynomials at the rate  $\omega(f, 1/n)$ , where  $\omega(f, \cdot)$  is the usual modulus of continuity of f. The purpose of this note is to improve the above estimates by replacing  $\omega(f, 1/n)$  by the Ditzian-Totik modulus of continuity  $\omega_{\varphi}(f, 1/n)$  and also to obtain estimates on the rate of approximation by reciprocals of polynomials in the  $L^{p}$ -norm,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

<sup>\*</sup> The research of this author was supported, in part, by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-862-0098.

Here, unfortunately, we have to assume  $f \in L^{p+1}[-1, 1]$  and give the estimates in terms of  $\omega_{\varphi}(f, 1/n)_{p+1}$ . The last section is devoted to some estimates on shape-preserving approximation by reciprocals of polynomials in the various norms.

2. Approximation in C[-1, 1]

Let  $\varphi(x) := \sqrt{1-x^2}$  and set

$$\Delta_{h\varphi} f(x): = \begin{cases} f(x + (h/2) \varphi(x)) - f(x - (h/2) \varphi(x)), & x \pm (h/2) \varphi(x) \in [-1, 1] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Following Ditzian and Totik [2], define

$$\omega_{\varphi}(f, t) := \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \| \varDelta_{h\varphi} f \|_{\infty},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  denotes the sup norm over [-1, 1]. Then it is readily seen that, for any  $f \in C[-1, 1]$ ,

$$\omega_{\varphi}(f,t)\!\leqslant\!\omega(f,t)$$

while, for instance, for  $f(x) = \sqrt{1+x}$  we have

$$\omega_{\varphi}(f, t) = O(t)$$
 and  $\omega(f, t) \sim t^{1/2}$ .

Ditzian and Totik [2] proved that  $\omega_{\varphi}(f, t)$  is equivalent to the modified Peetre kernel

$$K_{\varphi}(f, t) := \inf\{\|f - g\|_{\infty} + t \|\varphi g'\|_{\infty} + t^2 \|g'\|_{\infty}\}.$$

where the infimum is taken over all g that are absolutely continuous in [-1, 1] and such that  $g' \in L^{\infty}[-1, 1]$ . Our first main result is

**THEOREM** 1. Let  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  be nonconstant and nonnegative. Then there exists a sequence of polynomials  $\{p_n\}_{1}^{\infty}$ , with  $p_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ , such that

$$\left\| f - \frac{1}{p_n} \right\|_{\infty} \leq C \omega_{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right), \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$
(1)

Here and throughout this paper, C is an absolute constant independent of f and n whose value may be different from line to line and  $\mathcal{P}_n$  denotes the collection of all real polynomials of degree at most n. *Remark.* Obviously, a nonzero constant function f is approximable at the rate (1), while  $f \equiv 0$  is not.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we shall need the following.

LEMMA 2. Let  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  and define  $g \in C[-\pi, \pi]$  by  $g(\theta) := f(\cos \theta)$ . Let  $K_n(t)$  be the Jackson kernel that satisfies

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) dt = 1, \qquad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |t|^k K_n(t) dt \sim n^{-k}, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$
(2)

Then, for  $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$ ,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(\theta+t) - g(\theta)|^k K_n(t) dt \leq C \left[ \omega_{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^k, \qquad k = 1, 2.$$

**Proof.** By virtue of the equivalence between  $\omega_{\varphi}(f, t)$  and  $K_{\varphi}(f, t)$ , given  $f \in C[-1, 1]$ , for each n = 1, 2, ..., there exists an  $f_n$  absolutely continuous on [-1, 1] such that

$$\|f - f_n\|_{\infty} \leq C\omega_{\varphi}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right),$$
  
$$\|\varphi f'_n\|_{\infty} \leq Cn\omega_{\varphi}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right),$$
  
$$\|f'_n\|_{\infty} \leq Cn^2\omega_{\varphi}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right).$$
  
(3)

Setting  $g_n(\theta) := f_n(\cos \theta)$ , then by (2) and (3) we have for k = 1, 2,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(\theta+t) - g(\theta)|^{k} K_{n}(t) dt$$

$$\leq C \left[ \omega_{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^{k} + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g_{n}(\theta+t) - g_{n}(\theta)|^{k} K_{n}(t) dt.$$
(4)

Now, for each u between  $\cos \theta$  and  $\cos(\theta + t)$  we have

$$1 = -\frac{2\sin(\theta + t/2)\sin(t/2)}{\cos(\theta + t) - \cos\theta}$$
$$= -\frac{2\varphi(u)\sin(t/2)}{\cos(\theta + t) - \cos\theta} + \frac{2[\varphi(u) - \sin(\theta + t/2)]\sin(t/2)}{\cos(\theta + t) - \cos\theta}$$

Hence

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g_{n}(\theta+t) - g_{n}(\theta)|^{k} K_{n}(t) dt$$

$$= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \int_{\cos \theta}^{\cos(\theta+t)} f'_{n}(u) du \right|^{k} K_{n}(t) dt$$

$$\leq C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{1}{\cos(\theta+t) - \cos \theta} \int_{\cos \theta}^{\cos(\theta+t)} |f'_{n}(u)| \varphi(u) du \right|^{k} |t|^{k} K_{n}(t) dt$$

$$+ C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{1}{\cos(\theta+t) - \cos \theta} \int_{\cos \theta}^{\cos(\theta+t)} |f'_{n}(u)| du \right|^{k} |t|^{2k} K_{n}(t) dt$$

$$\leq C ||f'_{n}\varphi||_{\infty}^{k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |t|^{k} K_{n}(t) dt + C ||f'_{n}||_{\infty}^{k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |t|^{2k} K_{n}(t) dt.$$
(5)

Thus, from (2) and (3), we conclude that for k = 1, 2,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g_n(\theta+t) - g_n(\theta)|^k K_n(t) dt \leq C \{ \|f'_n \varphi\|_{\infty}^k n^{-k} + \|f'_n\|_{\infty}^k n^{-2k} \}$$
$$\leq C \left[ \omega_{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^k.$$

Combining this last inequality with (4) proves Lemma 2.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1. Although we basically follow the ideas of the proof in [3] (except that Lemma 2 provides sharper estimates), there is one major difference. In preparation for the  $L^p$  case we do not wish to use the pointwise value of f in order to get a lower estimate on the product  $fp_n$  and thereby prove that  $p_n$  does not vanish in [-1, 1]. In fact, in the  $L^p$  case, it makes no sense to look for such a lower estimate. Nevertheless we prove that  $p_n$  does not vanish in [-1, 1].

Proof of Theorem 1. Given a nonconstant  $f \in C[-1, 1]$ ,  $f \ge 0$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $f_{\varepsilon}(x) := f(x) + \varepsilon$  and let  $g_{\varepsilon}(\theta) := f_{\varepsilon}(\cos \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . Then  $|1/g_{\varepsilon}| \le 1/\varepsilon$  and we can define the algebraic polynomials

$$p_n(x) := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{g_{\epsilon}(\theta+t)} K_n(t) dt, \qquad n = 1, 2, ...,$$
(6)

where  $x = \cos \theta$  and  $K_n(t)$  is the Jackson kernel of Lemma 2.

By Hölder's inequality,

$$1 = \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) dt\right)^2$$
  
$$\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t) K_n(t) dt \cdot \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t)} K_n(t) dt$$
  
$$= p_n(x) \cdot \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t) K_n(t) dt.$$

Thus  $p_n$  does not vanish in [-1, 1] and

$$\frac{1}{p_n(x)} \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_e(\theta + t) K_n(t) dt.$$
(7)

Let  $E := \{x: (1/p_n(x)) > f_{\varepsilon}(x)\}$ . Then, by (7) and Lemma 2, we have for  $x \in E$ 

$$0 < \frac{1}{p_n(x)} - f_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[ g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t) - g_{\varepsilon}(\theta) \right] K_n(t) dt$$
$$\leq C \omega_{\varphi} \left( f_{\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{n} \right) = C \omega_{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right).$$
(8)

For x in the complement of E we have

$$\frac{1}{p_n(x)} \leqslant f_{\varepsilon}(x)$$

Hence

$$0 \leq f_{\varepsilon}(x) - \frac{1}{p_{n}(x)} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t)} - \frac{1}{g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)} \right] \frac{g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)}{p_{n}(\cos\theta)} K_{n}(t) dt$$

$$\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|g_{\varepsilon}(\theta) - g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t)|}{g_{\varepsilon}(\theta) g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t)} \frac{g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)}{p_{n}(\cos\theta)} K_{n}(t) dt$$

$$\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|g_{\varepsilon}(\theta) - g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t)|}{g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t)} g_{\varepsilon}(\theta) K_{n}(t) dt$$

$$\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g_{\varepsilon}(\theta) - g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t)| K_{n}(t) dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g_{\varepsilon}(\theta) - g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t)|^{2} K_{n}(t) dt,$$

where for the last inequality we used the fact that  $1/g_{\varepsilon} \leq 1/\varepsilon$ . By virtue of Lemma 2, we have for  $x \notin E$ 

$$0 \leq f_{\varepsilon}(x) - \frac{1}{p_n(x)} \leq C\omega_{\varphi}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right) + C \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\omega_{\varphi}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)\right]^2$$

(since  $\omega_{\varphi}(f_{\varepsilon}, t) \equiv \omega_{\varphi}(f, t)$ ). Choosing  $\varepsilon = \omega_{\varphi}(f, 1/n)$ , which is not zero since  $f \neq \text{const}$ , yields

$$0 \leq f_{\varepsilon}(x) - \frac{1}{p_n(x)} \leq C \omega_{\varphi}\left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \text{for } x \notin E.$$

Combining with (8) we have

$$\left\|f_{\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{p_{n}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\omega_{\varphi}\left(f,\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Thus

$$\left\| f - \frac{1}{p_n} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \| f - f_{\varepsilon} \|_{\infty} + \left\| f_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{p_n} \right\|_{\infty}$$
$$\leq \varepsilon + C\omega_{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)$$
$$\leq C\omega_{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

This completes the proof.

*Remark.* If we work with C[0, 1] instead of C[-1, 1], then  $\varphi$  takes the form  $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x(1-x)}$  and for  $x^{\alpha}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we have  $\omega_{\varphi}(x^{\alpha}, t) = O(t^{2\alpha})$ . Hence the error in approximating  $x^{\alpha}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , on [0, 1] by reciprocals of polynomials can be estimated by  $Cn^{-2\alpha}$ , where C is an absolute constant. This fact was also proved in [3] where a special construction is used. Note, however, that our present proof is valid only for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , while in [3] a similar estimate is established for all  $\alpha > 0$  with  $C = C(\alpha)$  increasing to infinity as  $\alpha \to \infty$ .

# 3. Approximation in $L^p[-1, 1]$

Here again we follow Ditzian and Totik [2] as we denote

$$\omega_{\varphi}(f, t)_p := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \|\Delta_{h\varphi} f\|_p.$$

It was shown in [2] that  $\omega_{\varphi}(f, t)_p$  is equivalent to the Peetre kernel

$$K_{\varphi}(f, t)_{p} := \inf\{\|f - g\|_{p} + t \|\varphi g'\|_{p} + t^{2} \|g'\|_{p}\},\$$

where the infimum is taken over all  $g \in L^{p}[-1, 1]$  that are absolutely continuous in [-1, 1] and such that  $g' \in L^{p}[-1, 1]$ .

Our result in this case is not as satisfactory as in C[-1, 1]. We will prove

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $f \in L^{p+1}[-1, 1]$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , be nonconstant and nonnegative. Then there exists a sequence of polynomials  $\{p_n\}_{1}^{\infty}$ , with  $p_n \in \mathscr{P}_n$ , such that

$$\left\| f - \frac{1}{p_n} \right\|_p \leqslant C\omega_\varphi \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p+1}, \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$
(9)

*Remark.* Obviously  $L^{p+1}[-1, 1]$  is a proper subset of  $L^{p}[-1, 1]$  and we have the inequality

$$\omega_{\varphi}(f,t)_{p} \leq \omega_{\varphi}(f,t)_{p+1},$$

but we are not able to replace the right-hand side of (9) by  $C\omega_{\varphi}(f, 1/n)_{p}$ . We do not know if this gap is indeed necessary or is due to the limitations of our method of proof.

Proof of Theorem 3. It follows from the equivalence of  $\omega_{\varphi}(f, \cdot)_{p+1}$  and  $K_{\varphi}(f, \cdot)_{p+1}$  that, for each *n*, there exists an absolutely continuous function  $f_n \in L^{p+1}[-1, 1]$  such that

$$\|f - f_{n}\|_{p} \leq C \|f - f_{n}\|_{p+1} \leq C\omega_{\varphi} \left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p+1},$$
  
$$\|\varphi f_{n}'\|_{p+1} \leq Cn\omega_{\varphi} \left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p+1},$$
  
$$\|f_{n}'\|_{p+1} \leq Cn^{2}\omega_{\varphi} \left(f, \frac{1}{n}\right)_{p+1}.$$
 (10)

Moreover, a close look at the proof of Ditzian and Totik [2, Sect. 3.1] reveals that  $f_n$  is nonnegative if  $f \ge 0$ . Thus it suffices to approximate  $f_n$  at the proper rate and this together with (10) will yield (9).

We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1. Let  $F_n(x) := f_n(x) + \varepsilon$  and let  $g_{\varepsilon}(\theta) := g_{\varepsilon,n}(\theta) := F_n(\cos \theta), \ -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$ . Let  $K_n(t)$  be a suitable Jackson kernel, i.e., such that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) dt = 1, \qquad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |t|^k K_n(t) dt \sim n^{-k}, \qquad k = 1, 2, ..., [2p+3].$$
(11)

Then again  $g_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \leq 1/\varepsilon$  and we can define the polynomial  $p_n$  by (6). We still have the estimate (7), although the right-hand side of (7) may be infinite for  $f \in L^{p+1}[-1, 1]$ . That this is not so for a differentiable f follows from (14) and (15) later in our proof.

Let

$$E_1 := \left\{ x : \frac{1}{p_n(x)} > F_n(x) \right\}.$$

Then, by (7) and Minkowski's inequality,

$$\left[\int_{E_{1}}\left|\frac{1}{p_{n}(x)}-F_{n}(x)\right|^{p}dx\right]^{1/p}$$

$$\leq \left[\int_{E_{1}}\left|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left[g_{\varepsilon}(\theta+t)-g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)\right]K_{n}(t)dt\right|^{p}dx\right]^{1/p}$$

$$\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}K_{n}(t)\left[\int_{E_{1}}\left|g_{\varepsilon}(\theta+t)-g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)\right|^{p}dx\right]^{1/p}dt.$$
(12)

Next, for any  $x \in [-1, 1]$ ,

$$\left|\frac{1}{p_n(x)} - F_n(x)\right| = \frac{|1 - p_n(x) F_n(x)|}{p_n(x)}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{p_n(x)} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t) - g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|}{g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t)} K_n(t) dt.$$

and so using the integral representation (6) and Hölder's inequality we get

$$\left|\frac{1}{p_n(x)}-F_n(x)\right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{p_n(x)}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{|g_{\varepsilon}(\theta+t)-g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|^p}{g_{\varepsilon}(\theta+t)}K_n(t)\,dt\right]^{1/p}.$$

Now for  $x \in E_2 := [-1, 1] \setminus E_1$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{p_n(x)} \leqslant F_n(x),$$

and so it follows that for  $x \in E_2$ 

$$\left|\frac{1}{p_n(x)}-F_n(x)\right|^p\leqslant \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{|g_{\varepsilon}(\theta+t)-g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|^p}{g_{\varepsilon}(\theta+t)}g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)K_n(t)\,dt.$$

Hence

$$\int_{E_2} \left| \frac{1}{p_n(x)} - F_n(x) \right|^p dx$$
  

$$\leq \int_{E_2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t) - g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|^p K_n(t) dt dx$$
  

$$+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{E_2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g_{\varepsilon}(\theta + t) - g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|^{p+1} K_n(t) dt dx, \qquad (13)$$

where we used the inequality  $g_{\epsilon}^{-1} \leq 1/\epsilon$ .

It remains to estimate the integrals on the right-hand sides of (12) and (13). They are similar and we use the method of proof of Lemma 2 in order to estimate each of them. What we get is

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) \left[ \int_{E_1} |g_{\varepsilon}(\theta+t) - g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|^p dx \right]^{1/p} dt \leq C \omega_{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p+1}, \quad (14)$$

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) \int_{E_2} |g_{\varepsilon}(\theta+t) - g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|^q \, dx \, dt \leq C \left[ \omega_{\varphi} \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p+1} \right]^q,$$
(15)

for q = p or q = p + 1.

We shall only prove (15) ((14) being similar). Consider

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) \int_{E_2} |g_e(\theta+t) - g_e(\theta)|^q \, dx \, dt$$

$$= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_n(t) \int_{E_2} \left| \int_{\cos\theta}^{\cos(\theta+t)} F'_n(u) \, du \right|^q \, dx \, dt$$

$$\leq C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{1}{\cos(\theta+t) - \cos\theta} \int_{\cos\theta}^{\cos(\theta+t)} |F'_n(u)| \, \varphi(u) \, du \right|^q |t|^q \, K_n(t) \, dx \, dt$$

$$+ C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{1}{\cos(\theta+t) - \cos\theta} \int_{\cos\theta}^{\cos(\theta+t)} |F'_n(u)| \, du \right|^q |t|^{2q} \, K_n(t) \, dx \, dt$$

as in the proof of Lemma 2. Denote by  $M_F(x)$  the Hardy maximal function of F, i.e.,

$$M_F(x) := \sup_{x \in I} \frac{1}{|I|} \left| \int_I F(s) \, ds \right|$$

Then it follows that for  $x = \cos \theta$ 

,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_{n}(t) \int_{E_{2}} |g_{\varepsilon}(\theta+t) - g_{\varepsilon}(\theta)|^{q} dx dt$$

$$\leq C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |t|^{q} K_{n}(t) \int_{E_{2}} |M_{|F_{n}^{'}|\varphi}(x)|^{q} dx dt$$

$$+ C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |t|^{2q} K_{n}(t) \int_{E_{2}} |M_{|F_{n}^{'}|}(x)|^{q} dx dt$$

$$\leq Cn^{-q} \|M_{|F_{n}^{'}|\varphi}\|_{q}^{q} + Cn^{-2q} \|M_{|F_{n}^{'}|}\|_{q}^{q}$$

$$\leq Cn^{-q} \|M_{|F_{n}^{'}|\varphi}\|_{p+1}^{q} + Cn^{-2q} \|M_{|F_{n}^{'}|}\|_{p+1}^{q}$$

$$\leq Cn^{-q} \|F_{n}^{'}\varphi\|_{p+1}^{q} + Cn^{-2q} \|F_{n}^{'}\|_{p+1}^{q},$$

by virtue of the inequality (see [4, p. 58])

$$\|M_F\|_p \leq C_p \|F\|_p, \qquad 1$$

The proof of (15) now follows from (10).

Finally, we choose  $\varepsilon = \omega_{\varphi}(f, 1/n)_{p+1}$ . Then (12) through (15) yield

$$\left\|F_n-\frac{1}{p_n}\right\|_p \leq C\omega_{\varphi}\left(f,\frac{1}{n}\right)_{p+1},$$

which together with (10) proves (9).

### 4. SHAPE-PRESERVING APPROXIMATION

Returning to continuous functions we will show that a monotone increasing  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  is approximable by reciprocals of monotone decreasing polynomials  $p_n$  (so that  $1/p_n$  is monotone increasing) at the same rate (1). To this end we observe that Beatson [1] proved the existence of a Jackson-type kernel satisfying (2) and such that it takes increasing functions into increasing functions. Using this kernel in the proof of Theorem 1, we see that whenever f is increasing so is  $f_{\varepsilon}$  and hence  $f_{\varepsilon}^{-1}$  is decreasing. Therefore the polynomials  $p_n$  defined by (6) are decreasing. We summarize these observations in

THEOREM 4. Let  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  be nonnegative and increasing. Then for each n there is a decreasing  $p_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$  such that (1) holds.

### REFERENCES

- 1. RICK BEATSON, Joint approximation of a function and it's derivatives, *in* "Approx. Theory III, Proc. of Conf. on Approx. Theory, Austin, TX, 1980 (E. W. Cheney, Ed.), pp. 199–206, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- 2. Z. DITZIAN AND V. TOTIK, "Moduli of Smoothness," Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- 3. A. L. LEVIN AND E. B. SAFF, Degree of approximation of real functions by reciprocals of real and complex polynomials, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 19 (1988), 233-245.
- 4. E. M. STEIN, "Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions," Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1980.